

148
May 14, 2015

To the Honorable Judge William C. Mulford II,

Good Day Your Honor.

I come to you today to ask your honor to please review the following request in regards to my credit for time spent in custody for case number: **02-K-07-001393**.

On **March 28th, 2008**, I was sentenced by Your Honor to 30 years with all but 15 years suspended. I am very grateful for my sentence because Your Honor chose to show compassion and not impose a 40 year sentence.

Your Honor, I am not asking for anything from you but what I believe is fair by the law and this is why I have written directly to you myself without wasting any of the court's and taxpayers' time.

I make this statement because I will not file any motions; I am asking Your Honor to rule in this matter based on what is stated on the sentencing transcript that has been attached to the back of this prose letter.

Your Honor, although I was not served until June 14th, 2007, I was in custody on **October 18th, 2006**, awaiting extradition in North Carolina to be sent back to Anne Arundel County to face charges for Armed Robbery.

On **October 23rd, 2006**, the Anne Arundel County detectives came to North Carolina and spoke to the defendant about the Armed Robbery that took place in Anne Arundel County and the defendant confessed and signed a photo array admitting his guilt and the Anne Arundel County detectives charge the defendant on the same day.

The defense counsel for the defendant, Mr. Pete Terech did acknowledge in open court that defendant should receive credit back from the date of January 17th, 2007, and the Court did not object that this was the date in which the District Court Charging Document was put into effect.

Your Honor, I am requesting that you please consider applying Md. Code (2001, 2008 Repl. Vol.), section 6-218(b) (1) of the Criminal Procedure Article ("CP"), based on Mr. Terech's acknowledgement in open court and award the defendant full credit back from the date of January 17th, 2007. This coming October 18th, 2015, will mark the 9th year that I have been incarcerated day for day.

Thank you for your time Your Honor and have a pleasant day!

Sincerely written,

Mr. Boisey L. Neal 349-871

Received by Judge Mulford's Chambers on 5/18/15

CRIMINAL DEPT.

2015 MAY 19 A.M. 45

UCB IMB

COPIES MAILED TO
SAO 05/14/15
OPD/DEF ATTORNEY 05/14/15

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
Under Md. Rule 1-323

I, **Boisey Levern Neal**, **HEREBY CERTIFY THAT** on this 14th, day of May 2015,
a copy of the foregoing Prose Letter, was mailed, postage prepaid, to the Honorable
Judge William C. Mulford 11, for the Anne Arundel County Circuit Court.



Boisey Levern Neal **439-871**
Defendant,
M.C.T.C.
18800 Roxbury Road
Hagerstown, Maryland 21746

1 that's what caused him to flee to North Carolina out -- in a
2 panic flight at that point. And then ultimately he's been
3 incarcerated down there.

4 ~~He's been incarcerated now since October 18th of~~
5 ~~2006 while we have been delaying~~, trying to get to the
6 psychological evaluations. That's when he --

7 THE COURT: I am sorry, since when?

8 MR. TERECH: ~~October 18th of 2006~~ I believe that's
9 the date that he was picked up in North Carolina.

10 THE COURT: That sound correct, Mr. Dunty?

11 MR. DUNTY: That seems awful long considering that
12 the charges were filed in June. But --

13 MR. TERECH: But -- I think he was --

14 THE COURT: Charges were filed in January of 2007.
15 So I don't know how --

16 MR. DUNTY: Well, then -- I mean -- that would make
17 sense --

18 THE COURT: -- he could be held on this since
19 October of 2006.

20 MR. DUNTY: He was held in North Carolina and then
21 pending extradition hearing, so there is a chance --

22 THE COURT: I know but this Statement of Charges
23 were not filed until January 17th, 2007.

24 MR. DUNTY: Let me see if I can find the
25 extradition notice.

1 THE COURT: ~~He was served on June 14th, 2007~~ by the
2 Anne Arundel County Police Department. Officer Peters. I
3 have the return. If you would like to see it, Counsel, I
4 have it.

5 MR. TERECH: ~~I agree as far as when he was served~~
6 ~~here locally.~~ The --

7 MR. DUNTY: Well, he couldn't have been served any
8 time before the actual charges were taken out. So --

9 MR. TERECH: Right.

10 MR. DUNTY: ~~I do know he was detained in North~~
11 ~~Carolina on cases.~~ ~~And that's when the detectives went down~~
12 ~~to speak with him.~~ ~~And after they spoke with him, they then~~
13 ~~charged him.~~ So --

14 THE COURT: Okay.

15 MR. TERECH: Okay. ~~So I guess -- it appears that~~
16 ~~-- because the District Court Charging Document was not in~~
17 ~~effect until January 17th, 2007, that that would be the date~~
18 ~~that would be -- as far as being able to give credit back to.~~

19 He was -- the charges down there were disposed of to send him
20 back up here, is my understanding. So they basically felt
21 that they would rather have Maryland taxpayers pay for it
22 than North Carolina taxpayers.

23 Now, to show why, I believe -- Patuxent is
24 appropriate for Mr. Neal, I am going to first show the Court
25 an article from the Daily Record. This is from 2002. And I